

BAYLOR UNIVERSITY
HANKAMER SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, INSURANCE & REAL ESTATE

Risk Management
Dr. Garven
Problem Set 5

Name: SOLUTIONS

Assume a consumer has initial wealth of \$40,000, utility of wealth $U(W) = \sqrt{W}$, and her wealth is subject to the following loss distribution:

Loss Amount (L_s)	Probability (p_s)
\$0	50%
\$5,000	30%
\$30,000	20%

A. Determine the expected utility of wealth, assuming the consumer is uninsured.

SOLUTION: In order to determine the expected utility of wealth for this consumer, we must calculate the state contingent value of wealth $W_s = W_0 - L_s$, and then use this information to determine the state contingent value of the utility of wealth $U(W_s)$:

p_s	L_s	$p_s L_s$	W_s	$p_s W_s$	$U(W_s)$	$p_s U(W_s)$
50%	\$0	\$0	\$40,000	\$20,000	200	100
30%	\$5,000	\$1,500	\$35,000	\$10,500	187.08	56.12
20%	\$30,000	\$6,000	\$10,000	\$2,000	100	20
	$E(L)$	\$7,500	$E(W)$	\$32,500	$E(U(W))$	176.12

Therefore, expected utility is 180.28.

B. Calculate the actuarially fair price for a full (100%) coverage insurance policy.

SOLUTION: The actuarially fair price for full coverage insurance is simply the expected value of loss, which we calculated in the above table as \$7,500.

C. Show that it is optimal for this consumer to purchase a full coverage insurance policy at its actuarially fair price by comparing expected utility in the absence of insurance with expected utility in the presence of insurance.

SOLUTION: In order to determine whether this consumer would purchase full insurance at the actuarially fair price, we must calculate the expected utility of full insurance. Since the actuarially fair price is \$7,500, by fully insuring this consumer will have certain wealth of \$32,500. The utility of \$32,500 is $U(W) = \sqrt{32,500} = 180.28$. Since the expected utility of full insurance is greater than the expected utility of being uninsured,

this consumer will prefer to be fully insured. Of course, this is simply a formal numerical proof of the Bernoulli hypothesis, which states that arbitrarily risk averse consumers will always fully insure at actuarially fair prices.

- D. If only full coverage insurance policies are available in the market, what is the maximum price that this consumer is willing to pay for such a policy?

SOLUTION: To answer this question, we must compute the certainty equivalent of wealth. Since the expected utility of remaining uninsured is 182.51, this means that the certainty equivalent of wealth is $176.12^2 = \$31,019.97$. Therefore, the maximum premium that this consumer is willing to pay is $\$40,000 - \$31,019.97 = \$8,980.03$.

- E. Suppose this consumer may choose one of the following four risk management strategies:

- 1) Policy A fully covers all losses for a price of \$9,000;
- 2) Policy B has a \$4,000 deductible and costs \$7,500;
- 3) Policy C covers 80% of all losses for a price of \$7,200; and
- 4) Self-insure.

Which of these four strategies will this consumer choose? Explain why.

SOLUTION: We begin by calculating state-contingent wealth under the four alternatives of self-insurance, Policy A, Policy B, and Policy C:

State Contingent Wealth

p_s	L_s	Self-insure	Policy A	Policy B	Policy C
50%	\$0	\$40,000	\$31,000	\$32,500	\$32,800
30%	\$5,000	\$35,000	\$31,000	\$28,500	\$31,800
20%	\$30,000	\$10,000	\$31,000	\$28,500	\$26,800
E(.)	\$7,500	\$32,500	\$31,000	\$30,500	\$31,300

As we can see from the above table, the highest expected wealth is obtained from self-insuring, which should be obvious because this is the only way to escape a premium loading. In order to determine which policy should be purchased, we must calculate the *expected utility* associated with these four alternatives:

State Contingent Wealth

p_s	L_s	Self-insure	Policy A	Policy B	Policy C
50%	\$0	200.00	176.07	1180.28	181.11
30%	\$5,000	187.08	176.07	168.82	178.33
20%	\$30,000	100.00	167.07	168.82	163.71
Expected Utility		176.12	176.07	174.55	176.79

Since Policy C has the highest expected utility, we would expect the consumer to purchase that policy.